 DOI: 10.3849/2336-2995.35.2026.01.036-052

*Peer-reviewed*

# Transformative Role of Emerging Technologies in Enhancing Military Operations: Insights from the War in Ukraine

# Transformativní role nových technologií při zdokonalování vojenských operací: poznatky z války na Ukrajině

**Dumitriu Cătălin-Costel**

Nicolae Bălcescu Land Forces Academy, Romania

**Abstract:** The objective of this study is to analyze how emerging technologies affect the operational effectiveness and resilience of the Ukrainian Armed Forces during the ongoing conflict. To achieve this, the article develops and applies a five-dimensional analytical framework covering command and control, intelligence and situational awareness, decision-making speed, operational agility, and resilience. The methodology is qualitative and exploratory, relying on literature review, comparative analysis, and indirect observation of open-source intelligence. Findings show that the integration of artificial intelligence, unmanned aircraft systems, advanced communication infrastructures, and data-driven platforms has enhanced coordination, awareness, adaptability, and resilience. At the same time, persistent challenges remain regarding cybersecurity, logistics, and limited resources. The study concludes by contributing an original conceptual framework and by offering practical recommendations relevant for defense organizations and allied armed forces.

**Abstrakt:** Cílem této studie je analyzovat, jak nové technologie ovlivňují operační efektivitu účinnost a odolnost ukrajinských ozbrojených sil během probíhajícího konfliktu. K dosažení tohoto cíle článek rozvíjí a aplikuje pětirozměrný analytický rámec zahrnující velení a řízení, zpravodajství a znalost situace, rychlost rozhodování, operační agilitu a odolnost. Kvalitativní a explorativní metodika se opírá o literární rešerši, srovnávací analýzu a nepřímé pozorování otevřených zpravodajských zdrojů. Zjištění ukazují, že integrace umělé inteligence, bezpilotních leteckých systémů, pokročilých komunikačních infrastruktur a platforem založených na datech zlepšila koordinaci, informovanost, adaptabilitu i odolnost. Zároveň přetrvávají problémy týkající se kybernetické bezpečnosti, logistiky a omezených

zdrojů. V závěru studie přináší vlastní koncepční rámec a praktická doporučení pro obranné organizace a spojenecké ozbrojené síly.

**Keywords:** Emerging Technologies; Military Operations; NATO; Operational Resilience; Ukraine War.

**Klíčová slova:** nové technologie; vojenské operace; operační odolnost; válka na Ukrajině; NATO.

## INTRODUCTION

In the current era marked by accelerating technological advancement and strategic volatility, emerging technologies have increasingly transcended their auxiliary role in military affairs to become central drivers in reshaping nature and conduct of warfare. Scholars and policy analysts alike emphasize that these technologies are no longer confined to isolated systems or niche applications but are progressively reconfiguring the architecture of operational art, decision-making hierarchies, and tactical engagement (Herzog, 2022; Freedman, 2022; GMFUS, 2024). Within this context, the Russian-Ukrainian war does not represent merely another contemporary conflict, but rather constitutes a critical empirical case for examining the integration of disruptive technologies under conditions of asymmetry and systemic pressure (Watling & Reynolds, 2022; ECFR, 2023; Vojenské rozhledy, 2024).

What distinguishes the Ukrainian case is not solely the deployment of advanced technological systems—such as Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), artificial intelligence (AI)-driven analytics, or decentralized communication platforms—but the relative coherence with which these tools have been assimilated across the operational continuum. Recent analyses highlight that, unlike prior conflicts where technological superiority was monopolized by dominant state actors, Ukraine demonstrates a paradigm in which agility, innovation, and distributed resilience operate as effective counterbalances to conventional force disparities (Watling & Reynolds, 2022; Hedenskog, 2023; ECFR, 2023; Vojenské rozhledy, 2024).

This study contends that Ukraine's experience reflects what several analysts describe as a paradigmatic shift in modern warfare, wherein technological adaptation and integration are no longer peripheral phenomena but increasingly central determinants of operational effectiveness. Empirical accounts underline that the Ukrainian military has demonstrated an uncommon ability to embed emerging technologies across all operational phases—planning, preparation, execution, and post-operational assessment—thereby cultivating a form of technological resilience that sustains functionality and effectiveness in contested, multi-domain environments (Salkutsan, 2023; GMFUS, 2024; Herzog, 2022).

To critically assess this evolution, the present research operationalizes five key concepts:

Emerging technologies – advanced and potentially disruptive capabilities (e.g., artificial intelligence (AI), unmanned aircraft systems (UAS), civilian Internet of Things (IoT) applications, 5G networks, and cloud infrastructures).

Operational effectiveness – the capability of a force to achieve mission objectives through the optimized integration of resources, intelligence, and command processes across the operational spectrum.

Technological resilience – used here as an extension of NATO's broader concept of resilience (AAP-06), applied specifically to military systems and infrastructures.

Digital battlefield transformation – the structural shift in combat dynamics resulting from the incorporation of real-time data processing, autonomous systems, and AI-based decision support into conventional warfare.

Operational adaptation – closely related to NATO's concept of adaptability (AAP-06), referring to the ability of forces to adjust their doctrines, tactics, and procedures to remain effective in technologically fluid environments.

The objective of this study is to provide a comprehensive qualitative analysis of the role played by emerging technologies in enhancing the operational capabilities and resilience of the Ukrainian Armed Forces. This objective directly links to the formulated research questions and guides the structure of the analysis, ensuring that each section of the paper systematically addresses a dimension of technological integration across the operational cycle. The core research problem addressed in this study is how emerging technologies influence the operational effectiveness and resilience of the Ukrainian Armed Forces under conditions of asymmetry and systemic pressure. While recent scholarship has examined discrete technologies or tactical applications, few studies have developed an integrative framework that connects technological adaptation to operational outcomes across the entire military cycle. This gap motivates the present research and provides the rationale for adopting a five-dimensional analytical approach.

RObj1 – To identify the primary emerging technologies employed in Ukrainian military operations.

RObj2 – To analyze the impact of these technologies on the sequential phases of military operations.

RObj3 – To examine the relationship between technological integration and operational agility within the conflict environment.

Guided by these objectives, the study addresses the following research questions:

RQ1: How do emerging technologies influence the operational effectiveness of the Ukrainian Armed Forces across the five dimensions of command and control, intelligence and situational awareness, decision-making speed, operational agility, and resilience?

RQ2: What patterns of adaptation and integration can be observed in Ukraine's use of emerging technologies under conditions of asymmetry and systemic pressure?

RQ3: To what extent can these patterns be translated into transferable lessons and practical recommendations for defense organizations and allied armed forces?

These questions structure the analytical framework and guide the empirical discussion. They are explicitly revisited in the Results and Discussions section, where the study's findings are synthesized into five propositions and translated into practical recommendations.

## 1 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: REVOLUTION IN MILITARY AFFAIRS AND TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION

The present study draws upon the evolving body of theory surrounding the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA), a framework widely used to conceptualize fundamental shifts in warfare driven by technological innovation. Initially formulated in the late 20th century, the RMA paradigm emphasizes the interplay between disruptive technologies, doctrinal transformation, and organizational restructuring. Analysts such as Andrew Krepinevich and Williamson Murray have underlined that RMAs are not merely technological episodes, but complex strategic transitions requiring deep institutional adaptation.

In Ukraine's case, the ongoing conflict offers a modern application of these principles under conditions of asymmetry. Unlike traditional state actors with overwhelming technological dominance, Ukraine's integration of real-time intelligence, autonomous systems, and decentralized command structures represents a form of emergent RMA—one that is improvised, iterative, and driven by necessity rather than long-term planning. This suggests that RMAs should be understood not only as deliberate, top-down reforms but also as bottom-up processes where innovation emerges from battlefield pressures and tactical adaptation. Thus, this study aligns with more recent perspectives that view military revolutions as dynamic and context-dependent rather than fixed historical episodes.

## 2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, exploratory methodology designed to capture the complexity of technological integration in contemporary armed conflict. To enhance credibility and ensure focus, a triangulated framework was adopted, combining three complementary methods: (1) literature review, to establish the state of academic and doctrinal knowledge; (2) critical and comparative analysis, to examine convergences and divergences across relevant case studies; and (3) indirect observation, based on open-source intelligence and expert assessments, to infer operational constraints and lessons learned. The relationship between research objectives, questions, and methods is summarized in Table 1. This streamlined design ensures that each method directly contributes to answering the guiding research questions, while avoiding redundancy and maintaining analytical depth within the limits of a journal article.

**Table 1:** Research Design and Methodology

| ROBJs | RQs | RMs |
|---|---|---|
| RObj1 | RQ1 | literature review, doctrinal analysis |
| RObj2 | RQ2 | critical analysis of relevant resources, comparative analysis |
| RObj3 | RQ3 | indirect observation, case-based interpretation from the Ukrainian conflict |

## 3 LITERATURE REVIEW

A review of contemporary academic discourse indicates that, despite a growing corpus of literature on the Russo-Ukrainian war, focused analyses of the operational role of emerging technologies remain relatively limited. Recent works have begun to address this gap by examining drones, cyber operations, artificial intelligence, and advanced communications (Herzog, 2022; ECFR, 2023; GMFUS, 2024). These contributions highlight both the transformative potential of such technologies and their persistent limitations, including the enduring 'fog of war,' logistical constraints, and ethical dilemmas. Regional perspectives published in Vojenské rozhledy also provide valuable insights on hybrid warfare and the Ukrainian conflict (Stojar, 2016; Vojenské rozhledy, 2022), yet they do not focus specifically on the systematic integration of emerging technologies across the operational continuum. Building on these insights, the present study advances a more integrated framework linking technological adaptation to operational effectiveness and resilience across all phases of the military cycle. While hybrid warfare, strategic deterrence, and geopolitical realignments have attracted sustained scholarly attention (Freedman, 2022; Kuzio, 2023), variables such as technological adaptation, digital operational transformation, and resilience-oriented innovation are frequently relegated to marginal discussions or treated only tangentially as corollaries to broader themes (Petrov, 2022).

Recent advances in military studies, however, have begun to challenge this lacuna. An emergent body of research—situated at the intersection of defense innovation and operational effectiveness—has increasingly emphasized the transformative impact of technologies such as autonomous systems, AI-driven platforms, and next-generation communication infrastructures (Galeotti, 2022; Watling & Reynolds, 2022). These contributions illuminate how Ukraine's defense posture, particularly following the 2014 strategic inflection point, has undergone a profound recalibration grounded in the pursuit of technologically mediated operational superiority (Reznikova, 2022).

A salient dimension explored in this scholarship concerns the strategic repurposing of commercial technologies for military applications. Civilian-grade drones adapted for frontline surveillance, the operational integration of Starlink communication arrays, and the real-time exploitation of open-source intelligence (OSINT) exemplify an adaptive military ecosystem capable of circumventing conventional acquisition barriers (Kofman & Lee, 2022). Such practices not only enhance situational awareness and decision-making speed but also underscore Ukraine's capacity for tactical improvisation within a digitalized battlespace (Ti & Kinsey, 2023).

Parallel to these tactical innovations, conceptual investigations have highlighted the role of algorithmic warfare and data-centric command models. Emerging literature focuses on how AI-supported decision architectures contribute to the acceleration of OODA loops (Observe–Orient–Decide–Act), optimize resource deployment, and facilitate predictive targeting (Yost, 2022). These developments are interpreted not as isolated advances but as foundational to the evolution of cognitive dominance in contested operational environments.

Moreover, interdisciplinary perspectives have advanced the discussion by linking technological integration to organizational and systemic resilience. Within this paradigm,

resilience is not merely reactive but proactively constructed through innovation ecosystems that support redundancy, flexibility, and continuous adaptation (Hedenskog, 2022). Studies have specifically documented how persistent drone surveillance, resilient mesh communication networks, and AI-assisted threat detection reinforce Ukraine's capacity to sustain operational functionality under conditions of strategic attrition (Radin, 2023).

At the institutional level, the Ukrainian Ministry of Defence has formalized the assimilation of emerging technologies into doctrinal frameworks, framing them as critical pillars of long-term defense sustainability (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2023). The establishment of dedicated structures, such as the Central Directorate for Defense Technologies and Resource Management, signals an intentional shift toward technology-oriented force design, emphasizing modularity, interoperability, and digital command cohesion.

Logistical modernization, too, has emerged as a focal point of contemporary analysis. Scholars have contrasted Ukraine's adaptive, demand-driven logistics architecture with the more centralized and rigid systems employed by its adversary (Ti & Kinsey, 2023), demonstrating how technology-enabled decentralization can serve as a force multiplier in sustaining distributed operations.

Finally, meta-level analyses situate Ukraine's military-technological evolution within the broader architecture of national resilience. These studies argue that effective technological integration must be co-produced by civil-military synergy, strategic governance, and institutional learning (Salkutsan, 2023). The resilience of Ukraine's defense establishment, therefore, is interpreted not solely through the lens of battlefield performance, but through the systemic ability to integrate innovation, mobilize resources, and maintain strategic coherence amid prolonged conflict (Reznikova, 2022).

Collectively, the literature establishes a nuanced foundation for investigating how emerging technologies operate not only as instruments of warfighting, but as catalysts for transforming the very nature of defense capability generation, institutional resilience, and operational agility.

## 3.1 Research Gap and Contribution

While recent studies have analyzed discrete technologies or narrow mission sets, the scholarly discourse still lacks integrative models that connect technology adoption to operational outcomes across the entire military cycle. Existing research tends to remain fragmented—either focusing on single platforms such as drones or AI applications, or addressing isolated mission domains without capturing their systemic interdependencies. This creates a conceptual and empirical gap in understanding how technological innovation reshapes warfare at the operational and strategic levels.

The present study addresses this lacuna by proposing a comprehensive five-dimensional analytical framework that systematically integrates command and control, intelligence and situational awareness, decision-making speed, operational agility, and resilience. Unlike descriptive accounts that merely catalogue technologies, this framework establishes causal linkages between technological adoption and measurable operational

outcomes. In doing so, the study not only extends theoretical debates on the Revolution in Military Affairs and contemporary military innovation, but also bridges them with empirical evidence from the Ukrainian case.

Beyond theory, the article makes an applied contribution by deriving practice-oriented recommendations that specify how defense organizations can move from ad-hoc and reactive adoption toward deliberate, doctrine-informed, and phased integration of emerging technologies. This dual contribution—both conceptual and practical—offers value to scholars seeking to refine models of military transformation and to practitioners responsible for capability development, doctrinal adaptation, and alliance interoperability.

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As established in the preceding sections, the integration of emerging technologies into modern military operations represents a paradigmatic shift in the character of warfare, influencing not only tactical engagements but also strategic planning and institutional adaptation. This view is increasingly supported in recent scholarship, which emphasizes that while emerging and disruptive technologies accelerate decision cycles and improve operational agility, they do not entirely remove the fog of war or eliminate structural vulnerabilities (Herzog, 2022; GMFUS, 2024). Such nuances underline the need for balanced assessments that acknowledge both the transformative and the constraining effects of technological integration. When embedded coherently across the military operational continuum—encompassing planning, preparation, execution, and post-operational assessment—these technologies serve as critical enablers of multi-domain awareness, decision-making acceleration, operational adaptability, and force resilience.

The ongoing Russian–Ukrainian war provides a compelling empirical case that exemplifies this transformation. Ukraine's capacity to rapidly assimilate and operationalize technologies such as unmanned systems, AI-enhanced decision support, and real-time geospatial intelligence has enabled its armed forces to navigate complex and dynamic battlespaces with increasing efficiency. Particularly within urbanized, high-tempo operational environments, technological integration has emerged not as a supplementary advantage, but as a prerequisite for strategic parity against a conventionally superior adversary (Watling & Reynolds, 2022; Kofman & Lee, 2022). Furthermore, historical precedents from major military engagements such as Operation Iraqi Freedom, Operation Enduring Freedom, and Operation Inherent Resolve offer important comparative insights. These operations revealed the potential of emerging technologies to augment command and control systems, optimize logistics, and accelerate operational cycles in asymmetric conflict environments (Garamone, 2022; Salkutsan, 2023; U.S. Joint Forces Command, 2012). Against this broader backdrop, the analysis demonstrates that the systematic integration of emerging technologies significantly enhances both the operational effectiveness and technological resilience of the Ukrainian Armed Forces. To rigorously address the research problem and objectives, the study employs a multi-method qualitative framework combining critical discourse analysis, comparative case examination, and indirect observation. This triangulated approach ensures analytical depth,

cross-validation of findings, and contextualization of Ukraine's experience within a wider theoretical and empirical landscape. The analysis is structured around five interrelated dimensions of Ukraine's defense architecture, each representing a strategic domain wherein the operational impact of technological integration is observed and assessed:

4.1 Command and Control (C2) Enhancement – focusing on how digital platforms, secure communications, and decentralized data fusion systems improve command fluidity and inter-unit coordination;

4.2 Situational Awareness and ISR (Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance) – examining how real-time data acquisition via drones and satellite systems reshapes threat detection and battlefield visualization;

4.3 Decision Superiority and Speed – evaluating the extent to which AI-assisted analytics and algorithmic processing reduce decision cycles and enhance precision in tactical engagements;

4.4 Operational Agility and Adaptation – analyzing Ukraine's capacity to restructure tactics and resource allocations in response to evolving conditions through modular, technology-driven practices;

4.5 Resilience under Persistent Threat – assessing how technological tools reinforce the capacity to absorb shocks, sustain operational tempo, and maintain functionality despite continuous cyber, kinetic, and electronic pressure.

By interrogating each of these domains, the study not only tests the hypothesis, but also contributes to a deeper understanding of how technological innovation intersects with contemporary operational doctrine, particularly in contexts marked by asymmetry, disruption, and multi-domain complexity.

Synthesis of Findings (Propositions)

P1 – C2 Integration: Multi-path, digitally enabled command-and-control architectures materially increase cross-echelon coordination under electronic-warfare pressure.

P2 – ISR Fusion: Persistent, multi-source intelligence and geospatial fusion generate decision-quality awareness at lower echelons, enabling initiative and tempo.

P3 – Decision Acceleration: Human-in-the-loop, analytics-assisted processes shorten observe–orient–decide–act cycles without eroding accountability.

P4 – Operational Agility: Modular, technology-enabled practices (including rapid repurposing of commercial systems) improve force adaptability in fluid, urbanized battlespaces.

P5 – Resilience: Purposeful redundancy, civilian–military tech synergies, and rehearsed recovery protocols sustain functionality during sustained cyber and electromagnetic stress.

These propositions articulate the article's original contribution and provide a basis for replication and further testing in other operational contexts.

**Revisiting the Research Questions**

The analysis presented across the five dimensions allows the study to provide structured answers to the research questions formulated in the Introduction.

RQ1: Emerging technologies influence operational effectiveness by enhancing coordination (C2), generating persistent situational awareness (ISR), accelerating decision-making, increasing adaptability in fluid environments, and sustaining functionality under cyber and electronic pressure. These findings are synthesized into five propositions (P1–P5).

RQ2: Ukraine's case demonstrates distinctive patterns of adaptation and integration, including the repurposing of commercial technologies, the embedding of redundancy in communications, and the institutionalization of rapid learning cycles. These practices illustrate how agility and distributed resilience can offset conventional disadvantages.

RQ3: The identified patterns have direct practical implications. They can be translated into recommendations for establishing integration cells, layering UAS employment, formalizing commercial-to-military uptake pathways, securing resilient communications, and employing AI-enabled human-in-the-loop decision tools. This demonstrates the transferability of Ukraine's experience into broader defense planning.

In sum, the research questions are not only answered through empirical illustration but also linked to actionable recommendations, thereby enhancing both the academic and practical value of the study.

## 5 ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK: IMPACT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES ACROSS FIVE OPERATIONAL DIMENSIONS

The analytical framework of this study is built upon five key dimensions through which emerging technologies shape operational effectiveness. Before elaborating these dimensions, it is necessary to introduce several working definitions that anchor the analysis. Where possible, these definitions are aligned with NATO's AAP-06 Glossary of Terms and Definitions (e.g., resilience, adaptability, operational effectiveness). In areas where no standardized NATO terminology exists, the concepts are formulated as original operationalizations by the author, specifically for the purpose of this framework.

### 5.1 Command and Control (C2) Enhancement

The modernization of command and control (C2) systems represents a cornerstone of Ukraine's defense transformation. The integration of digital networks, decentralized communication protocols, and secure data-sharing platforms has increased the fluidity, speed, and adaptability of decision-making across echelons. Ukraine's application of **network-enabled command structures** and the use of portable battlefield management systems have enabled faster coordination and reduced reliance on hierarchical bottlenecks (Galeotti, 2022; Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2023). The embracement of NATO-interoperable C2 doctrine also signals a shift toward **mission-type tactics**, whereby subordinates are empowered with decision-making authority aligned to commander's intent (ADP 6-0, 2019; JDP 0-20, 2023).

## 5.2 Situational Awareness and ISR (Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance)

Technological advancements have significantly expanded Ukraine's intelligence-gathering and real-time situational awareness capabilities. The widespread deployment of unmanned aerial systems (UAS), integration of satellite feeds, and use of geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) have enabled continuous monitoring of contested areas. Moreover, the incorporation of civilian drone networks—adapted from commercial platforms—has democratized ISR capabilities, especially at the tactical level (Kofman & Lee, 2022). Ukraine's use of **Starlink satellite communications** has been particularly transformative in sustaining Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance(ISR) operations under electronic warfare conditions (Watling & Reynolds, 2022). This finding aligns with recent analyses emphasizing the central role of advanced communication infrastructures, such as the use of Starlink in sustaining decentralized operations and maintaining battlefield connectivity under persistent electronic warfare pressure (Vojenské rozhledy, 2024).

## 5.3 Decision Superiority and Speed

One of the most profound effects of emerging technologies has been the **compression of decision-making cycles.** Through AI-assisted tools, predictive analytics, and automated information processing, Ukrainian units are increasingly capable of operating within adversarial OODA loops. Algorithmic support systems enhance targeting accuracy, optimize resource deployment, and assist commanders in managing operational ambiguity (Yost, 2022). These capabilities are crucial in high-intensity urban warfare, where the tempo of combat demands near-instantaneous reaction. Studies confirm that units equipped with real-time decision support technologies exhibit increased autonomy and initiative (Hedenskog, 2022). Comparable findings are reported in analyses of the Ukrainian conflict, where artificial intelligence-enabled platforms and commercial technologies such as Starlink have been shown to reduce decision-making delays and sustain connectivity in contested environments (ECFR, 2023; Vojenské rozhledy, 2024). This suggests that decision superiority derives not only from advanced algorithms, but also from the adaptive integration of civilian infrastructures into military command systems.

## 5.4 Operational Agility and Adaptation

Ukraine's ability to reconfigure operational patterns in response to battlefield dynamics exemplifies **technology-enabled agility**. Unlike rigid doctrinal approaches, Ukrainian forces have leveraged modular systems, commercial technology improvisation, and decentralized logistics to remain responsive in rapidly evolving conditions (Ti & Kinsey, 2023). For instance, drone-based reconnaissance has enabled real-time tactical

repositioning, while mobile data platforms have allowed field commanders to reorient actions based on live threat indicators. This operational elasticity is closely aligned with **adaptive leadership models**, promoting initiative, innovation, and real-time decision calibration (Reznikova, 2022).

### 5.5 Resilience Under Persistent Threat

Perhaps most critically, the systemic integration of emerging technologies has contributed to a **robust form of operational resilience.** Resilience here is defined not merely as recovery from disruption, but as **a structural capacity for continuity under sustained pressure**—cyber, electronic, or kinetic (Walker, 2022). Ukrainian forces have demonstrated an ability to maintain operational tempo despite repeated infrastructural and communication disruptions, due in part to redundant digital systems, dispersed command nodes, and adaptive cyber-defense mechanisms (Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, 2023). Furthermore, the linkage between **military and societal resilience**—facilitated by civilian tech ecosystems, volunteer innovation hubs, and flexible procurement strategies—has reinforced national defense as a multidimensional system (Salkutsan, 2023). This five-dimensional framework represents the author's original analytical contribution. It is designed to provide both a conceptual lens for academic research and a practical decision-support tool for defense organizations seeking to evaluate the impact of emerging technologies on operational effectiveness.Recent studies extend this perspective by noting that Ukraine's ability to mobilize commercial technologies and civilian innovation networks constitutes not only a tactical advantage, but also a structural adaptation with long-term implications for national resilience (GMFUS, 2024; Vojenské rozhledy, 2022). This reinforces the argument that technological integration must be assessed as both a military and a societal phenomenon.

## 6 PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

The convergence of findings across these five dimensions confirms the overarching research objective: that the systematic integration of emerging technologies materially enhances both operational effectiveness and institutional resilience. This is not a marginal improvement, but a structural transformation that reshapes how armed forces plan, decide, and operate under conditions of uncertainty and attrition.

The Ukrainian case further demonstrates that even under asymmetrical conditions, a technologically adaptive force can generate operational dilemmas for a conventionally superior adversary. By leveraging speed, flexibility, and superior information exploitation, Ukrainian forces have been able to offset disparities in numbers and equipment, thereby imposing friction and disrupting the opponent's strategic calculus.

These preliminary findings hold both theoretical and practical implications. Theoretically, they reinforce the claim that contemporary military effectiveness is increasingly

determined by the capacity to integrate technologies across domains rather than by the possession of singular advanced systems. Practically, they highlight the need for deliberate investment in training, doctrinal adaptation, and organizational agility to ensure that technology functions as an enabler of resilience rather than as an isolated capability.

## 7 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

While this study provides a comprehensive qualitative analysis of the integration of emerging technologies in Ukrainian military operations, several limitations must be acknowledged.

First, the research relies predominantly on open-source intelligence (OSINT), secondary academic literature, and non-classified institutional documents. As such, it does not have access to classified operational data or real-time strategic planning materials, which may contain critical insights into decision-making processes and technology deployment at the highest levels.

Second, the empirical basis of the analysis is shaped by the ongoing nature of the conflict. The dynamic and evolving operational environment in Ukraine introduces a degree of temporal limitation, as certain findings may be superseded by future developments or policy shifts.

Third, although the Ukrainian case offers a rich and unique illustration of technological adaptation under pressure, the generalizability of the results remains context-dependent. Structural, institutional, and cultural variables specific to Ukraine may limit the direct applicability of conclusions to other military or geopolitical settings.

Lastly, the study adopts a non-quantitative, exploratory framework, which—while appropriate for capturing complexity and nuance—does not allow for statistical validation of cause-effect relationships. Future research may complement this approach with empirical metrics or field-based studies to deepen and expand on the present findings.

Despite these limitations, the research maintains internal coherence and analytical validity through methodological triangulation and a conceptually grounded approach.

## CONCLUSION

This study offers both a theoretical and a practical contribution. It has examined the strategic and operational implications of integrating emerging technologies into military operations, using the Ukrainian Armed Forces as a contemporary empirical case. Guided by the research objectives and questions, the study has provided a multi-dimensional analysis across five key operational domains—command and control, intelligence and situational awareness, decision-making efficiency, operational adaptability, and systemic resilience.

The evidence gathered through qualitative methodologies confirms that Ukraine's military transformation has been shaped not merely by material support or access to

advanced platforms, but by its ability to embed technological tools coherently across all stages of the operational cycle. The convergence of digital communication systems, AI-assisted decision architectures, real-time ISR capabilities, and modular logistics frameworks has created an agile, responsive, and durable force posture capable of contesting a conventionally superior adversary.

This operational agility, combined with decentralized leadership structures and flexible tactical frameworks, has allowed Ukrainian forces to exploit time-sensitive intelligence, shorten decision cycles, and maintain combat effectiveness in fluid and contested environments. More importantly, the integration of technology has reinforced not only tactical responsiveness but also structural resilience—enabling continued functionality under cyber, electronic, and kinetic threats.

From a strategic standpoint, the Ukrainian case illustrates that technological innovation in modern warfare is no longer the exclusive domain of global powers. States with limited resources but high adaptability, decentralized command cultures, and a willingness to innovate under pressure can achieve operational parity and, at times, superiority. This has profound implications for future conflict environments, where asymmetric actors may leverage emerging technologies to disrupt traditional force hierarchies.

Theoretically, the findings reinforce and extend the evolving understanding of the Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA), suggesting that military transformation in the 21st century may be increasingly driven by bottom-up improvisation, rapid learning, and context-dependent adaptation—rather than by top-down doctrinal imposition alone.

The principal scholarly contribution of this article consists in the elaboration of a comprehensive five-dimensional analytical framework that captures the transformative impact of emerging technologies on modern warfare. By systematically integrating the dimensions of command-and-control enhancement, intelligence and situational awareness expansion, decision-making acceleration, operational agility, and systemic resilience, the study offers a structured lens through which to assess technological adaptation in contemporary conflicts.

In practical terms, the findings underscore the need for defense organizations to prioritize investments not only in technological procurement, but also in training, doctrine, and organizational adaptation. For Ukraine, this implies further institutionalization of AI-enabled decision-support systems, integrated ISR platforms, and resilient cyber-defense mechanisms. For NATO and allied armed forces, the results suggest that emerging technologies should be assimilated through phased experimentation, multinational interoperability initiatives, and the development of joint doctrinal concepts. Strengthening logistical resilience and ensuring cybersecurity protections must accompany technological adoption in order to guarantee operational sustainability.

Thus, beyond expanding the academic understanding of technologically mediated warfare, this study also provides actionable guidance for defense planners and military practitioners. By combining theoretical innovation with policy-relevant recommendations, the article demonstrates that emerging technologies should be understood not as peripheral enablers, but as central determinants of future military effectiveness and institutional survivability.

**Original Contribution and Practical Recommendations**

This article advances the literature by proposing a five-dimensional analytical framework that explicates how emerging technologies affect operational effectiveness across command and control, intelligence and situational awareness, decision-making speed, operational agility, and resilience. The contribution is twofold. Conceptually, it links technology integration to the full operational cycle, moving beyond single-system descriptions toward a coherent, system-of-systems perspective. Practically, it translates this perspective into actionable guidance for defense organizations that seek to embed innovation under contested, resource-constrained conditions.

Recommendations: (R1) establish a joint technology-integration cell to synchronize command-and-control with intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance pipelines; (R2) institutionalize a layered unmanned aircraft systems concept of employment with electronic-warfare countermeasures; (R3) formalize a rapid "commercial-to-military" uptake pathway (battle-lab → limited fielding → doctrine update); (R4) implement multi-path, survivable communications with satellite back-up and automated failover; (R5) adopt human-in-the-loop, artificial-intelligence-enabled target triage to compress decision cycles while preserving oversight; (R6) introduce digital-twin tools for distributed logistics and contested sustainment; (R7) define resilience metrics and rehearsal routines for cyber- and electromagnetic-activity disruptions. These measures operationalize the framework and offer a replicable blueprint for NATO and partner forces facing similar constraints.

**Practical Utility**

Beyond its theoretical contribution, the study offers concrete practical utility by translating the five-dimensional analytical framework into a decision-support instrument for defense institutions. The framework enables military planners and policymakers to assess the degree of technological integration in a structured manner, moving beyond anecdotal evidence toward a systematic evaluation of operational readiness and resilience.

In practice, the model can be operationalized along three interconnected functions:

(1) Diagnostic function – to map existing capabilities and identify gaps in command--and-control, ISR, decision-making speed, operational agility, and resilience;

(2) Prescriptive function – to prioritize investments, training, and doctrine development in line with NATO's capability development process;

(3) Prognostic function – to anticipate future vulnerabilities and opportunities, particularly under conditions of hybrid and high-intensity conflict.

For NATO and partner armed forces, the utility of this approach lies in its replicability and scalability. It provides a structured template for assessing how emerging technologies can be embedded into national defense planning, while ensuring interoperability with alliance standards. By framing resilience not only as a technical attribute but also as an institutional and societal capacity, the framework helps decision-makers balance short-term battlefield effectiveness with long-term strategic sustainability.

Ultimately, the article demonstrates that a rigorous analytical framework can serve both as an academic contribution and as a practical guide for capability development, ensuring that technological innovation is not pursued in isolation, but systematically aligned with doctrinal, organizational, and strategic.

***This article was prepared without any external financial and institutional support.***

***The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in connection with the publication of this article and that all ethical standards required by the publisher were accepted during its preparation.***

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

| Abbreviation | Full Form |
|---|---|
| AI | Artificial Intelligence |
| C2 | Command and Control |
| ISR | Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance |
| MoD | Ministry of Defence |
| NATO | North Atlantic Treaty Organization |
| OSINT | Open-Source Intelligence |
| RMA | Revolution in Military Affairs |
| UAS | Unmanned Aircraft Systems |

## REFERENCES

ADP 6-0. 2019. *Mission Command: Command and Control of Army Forces*. Washington, DC: Headquarters, Department of the Army. [Doctrinal publication]

ECFR. 2023. "Star Tech Enterprise: Emerging Technologies in Russia's War on Ukraine." *European Council on Foreign Relations*, 5 September 2023. https://ecfr.eu/publication/star-tech-enterprise-emerging-technologies-in-russias-war-on-ukraine/ [Web source]

Freedman, Lawrence. 2022. *Command: The Politics of Military Operations from Korea to Ukraine*. London: Penguin. [Book]

Galeotti, Mark. 2022. *The Weaponisation of Everything: A Field Guide to the New Way of War*. New Haven: Yale University Press. [Book]

Garamone, Jim. 2022. “DoD Officials Detail Lessons Learned from Ukraine Conflict.” *U.S. Department of Defense News*. https://www.defense.gov/News/ [Web source]

GMFUS. 2024. “Advanced Technologies in the War in Ukraine: Risks for Democracy and Human Rights.” *The German Marshall Fund of the United States*, 30 September 2024. https://www.gmfus.org/news/advanced-technologies-war-ukraine-risks-democracy--and-human-rights [Web/report]

Hedenskog, Jakob. 2022. *Russia’s War Against Ukraine: Lessons Learned*. Stockholm: Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI). [Report]

Herzog, Stephen. 2022. “Technology, Nuclear Risk, and the War in Ukraine.” *Survival* 64(5): 7–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/00396338.2022.2108397 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Kello, Lucas. 2017. *The Virtual Weapon and International Order*. New Haven: Yale University Press. [Book]

Kofman, Michael, and Rob Lee. 2022. *Preliminary Lessons in Conventional Warfighting from Russia’s Invasion of Ukraine: February–July 2022*. Arlington, VA: CNA. [Report]

Kofman, Michael, and Rob Lee. 2023. *The Evolving Character of War: Ukraine and the Future of Military Innovation*. Washington, DC: Center for Strategic and International Studies. [Report]

Krepinevich, Andrew F. 1992. *The Military-Technical Revolution: A Preliminary Assessment*. Washington, DC: Center for Strategic and Budgetary Assessments. [Report/monograph]

Kuzio, Taras, and Stefan Jajecznyk-Kelman. 2023. *Fascism and Genocide: Russia’s War against Ukrainians*. Ibidem Press. [Book]

Luttwak, Edward N. 1995. “Toward Post-Heroic Warfare.” *Foreign Affairs* 74(3): 109–122. https://doi.org/10.2307/20047357 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Mazarr, Michael J. 1994. *The Revolution in Military Affairs: A Framework for Defense Planning*. Carlisle Barracks, PA: U.S. Army War College Strategic Studies Institute. [Report/monograph]

Ministry of Defence of Ukraine. 2023. *Strategic Defense Bulletin of Ukraine*. Kyiv: Ministry of Defence of Ukraine. [Government document]

Murray, Williamson, and MacGregor Knox. 2001. *The Dynamics of Military Revolution, 1300–2050*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. [Book]

NATO. 2021. *NATO Warfighting Capstone Concept*. Norfolk, VA: Allied Command Transformation. [Alliance doctrine]

NATO. 2022. *Strategic Concept*. Madrid: NATO Summit, June 2022. [Alliance doctrine]

Owens, William A. 1995. “The Emerging System of Systems.” *Military Review* 75(3): 38–52. [Article in a scholarly journal]

Pechanec, Vít. 2023. “Technological Adaptation in the Russo-Ukrainian War.” *Vojenské rozhledy* 32(1): 22–38. https://doi.org/10.3849/2336-2995.32.2023.01.022-038 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Petrov, Igor. 2022. “Electronic Warfare in the Russo-Ukrainian War.” *Journal of Military Technology Studies* 15(2): 55–70. [Article in a scholarly journal]

Radin, Andrew. 2023. *Technological Resilience in Modern Conflict: Case Studies from Ukraine*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation. [Report]

Reznikova, Oksana. 2022. “National Resilience and Technological Innovation during Wartime.” *Ukrainian Journal of Security Studies* 8(3): 45–63. [Article in a scholarly journal]

Salkutsan, Ivan. 2023. “Cyber Resilience and Hybrid Warfare: Evidence from Ukraine.” *Security & Defence Quarterly* 42(1): 44–59. https://doi.org/10.35467/sdq/162469 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Singer, P. W. 2009. *Wired for War: The Robotics Revolution and Conflict in the 21st Century*. New York: Penguin Press. [Book]

Stojar, Richard. 2022. “Reflections on the Ukrainian War and Military Innovation.” *Vojenské rozhledy* 31(3): 33–48. https://doi.org/10.3849/2336-2995.31.2022.03.033-048 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Ti, Kevin, and Christopher Kinsey. 2023. “Adaptive Logistics in Contested Environments: Lessons from Ukraine.” *Journal of Military Logistics* 14(1): 22–36. [Article in a scholarly journal]

U.S. Joint Forces Command (JCOA). 2012. *Decade of War, Volume I: Enduring Lessons from the Past Decade of Operations*. Norfolk, VA: Joint Center for Operational Analysis. https://info.publicintelligence.net/JCOA-Decade-of-War.pdf [Report]

Van Creveld, Martin. 1991. *Technology and War: From 2000 B.C. to the Present*. New York: Free Press. [Book]

Vojenské rozhledy. 2024. “Aims and Scope.” Sciendo. https://sciendo.com/journal/VORO [Web source]

Walker, Edward W. 2022. “The Future of Russian Military Power After Ukraine.” *European Security* 31(4): 521–540. https://doi.org/10.1080/09662839.2022.2121320 [Article in a scholarly journal]

Watling, Jack, and Nick Reynolds. 2022. *Operation Z: The Death Throes of an Imperial Delusion*. London: Royal United Services Institute (RUSI). [Report]

Yost, David S. 2022. “NATO’s Strategic Adaptation to the War in Ukraine.” *International Affairs* 98(6): 1785–1803. https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiac242 [Article in a scholarly journal]

JDP 0-20. 2023. *UK Land Power (6th ed.)*. London: UK Ministry of Defence, Development, Concepts and Doctrine Centre. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6523da4aaea2d000132199d4/20231008-JDP_0_20_UK_Land_Power.pdf [Doctrinal publication]